



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,170	11/26/2003	Matthew Brady Henson	SIG000117	5742
34399 7590 06/05/2007 GARLICK HARRISON & MARKISON P.O. BOX 160727 AUSTIN, TX 78716-0727			EXAMINER PAUL, DISLER	
			ART UNIT 2615	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 06/05/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/723,170	Applicant(s) HENSON ET AL.	
	Examiner Disler Paul	Art Unit 2635	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____. | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____. |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on the month of April of 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In the reference of Matsunaga the examiner, read the claims as broadly as possible wherein such circuit is to be used in an portable telephone device with the microphone for inputting signals, thus such circuit as broadly read which is coupled to the microphone, the examiner thus read the circuits as microphone circuit.

However, the examiner take notice that the specific limitation of the second IC circuit and receiving such signal from a microphone is not taught by the prior arts cited.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsunaga et al. ("US 6,759,906 B2") and Aubauer et al. ("US 2005/0276423 A1").

Re claim 1, Matsunaga et al. discloses a microphone bias circuit for use within an integrated circuit having a microphone input

Art Unit: 2615

("fig.26-is the whole integrated circuit of microphone input(323)"),
the microphone bias circuit comprises: a first integrated circuit (IC)
pin ("fig.1- is a detail description of the first integrated circuit &
col.8 line 49-54"); a first resistor operably coupled to the first IC
pin("fig.1/R1") and a return voltage ("fig.1/(vg1) gate bias
voltage"); a second IC pin operably coupled to receive analog signals
from a source("fig.6/(#71-85)-is the second IC pin which receive
analog signal from(80)"); and a supply voltage buffer operably coupled
to produce a buffered supply voltage based on a impedance setting
("fig.7/(#AMP1,R11)"), wherein at least one off-chip component couples
the second IC pin to the first IC pin("fig.5-(Pout,Vapc)couple the two
IC pins"). Matsunaga et al. fail to disclose the supply voltage as
being variable based on variable impedance. However, Aubauer et al.
disclose of a microphone arrangement in order to process audio signal
in which having variable supply voltage based on variable
impedance("fig.7 & page 4[0053] line 7-12") for purpose of minimizing
circuit complexity as well as cost being adapted for most
applications.

Therefore taking the combined teaching of Matsunaga et al. and
Aubauer et al. as a whole, one of the ordinary skill in the art would
have found it obvious to incorporate variable supply voltage based on
variable impedance in Matsunaga et al. for the purpose of minimizing
circuit complexity as well as cost being adapted for most applications
as taught by as taught by Aubauer et al.

While, the combined teaching of Matsunaga et al. and Aubauer et al. as a whole, teach of the above, they fail to teach of the wherein the second IC receive the signals from a microphone. However, official notice is taken that the limitation wherein the second IC receive the signals from a microphone is commonly known in the art, thus official notice is taken that it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art to have modified the teaching of Matsunaga et al. and Aubauer et al. as a whole, to incorporate the specific wherein the second IC receive the signals from a microphone for the purpose of generating power control signal according to power level voltage command.

The further teaching of Matsunaga et al. and Aubauer et al. as a whole, would have incorporate the wherein the supply voltage buffer provides the buffered supply voltage to second IC pin as a microphone bias voltage ("fig.6,fig.7-buffer volt(70) is provided to ic circuit(vg1,vg2) as bias").

Re claim 2, the microphone bias circuit of claim 1, wherein the power supply buffer comprises: an amplifier having a first input, a

Art Unit: 2615

second input, and an output ("Matsunaga, fig. 7/(AMP1)"), wherein the first input is coupled to receive a bandgap voltage ("Matsunaga, col. 13 line 63-67 & fig. 10/(11, 112)") and the output provides the buffered supply voltage ("Matsunaga, fig. 11/buffer output(vo)"); and a variable impedance ("Aubauer, fig. 7/z1") having a first node, a second node, and a tap node, wherein the first node is coupled to the output of the amplifier, the second node is coupled to the return voltage, and the tap node is coupled to the second input of the amplifier ("Matsunaga, fig. 11/node to (-vapc, vo, vdd)").

Re claim 3, The microphone bias circuit of claim 2, wherein the variable impedance comprises an on-chip variable resistor circuit ("Aubauer, fig. 7/z1").

Re claim 4, The microphone bias circuit of claim 1, wherein the at least one off-chip component comprises a capacitor ("Matsunaga, fig. 1/(c1-c4); col. 9 line 5-10").

Re claim 5, the microphone bias circuit of claim 1 further comprises: a second resistor coupled between the variable supply voltage buffer and the second IC pin ("Matsunaga, fig. 1/R2-is connected between the supply voltage and second pin-further info second pin see fig. 6(71-85)").

Re claim 6, the microphone bias circuit of claim 1 further comprises: a processing module ("Matsunaga, fig. 26/351"); and memory operably coupled to the processing module ("Matsunaga, fig. 26/360, 353"),

wherein the memory stores operational instructions that cause the processing module to: monitor the received analog signals("Matsunaga,col.16 line 61-61-microprocessor(353) so as to provide control; determining ("Aubauer,fig.7 & page 1[0004] line 3-4") whether the received analog signals are optimally biased ("Matsunaga,fig.26/(323),biasing circuit (fig.6)"); and when the received analog signals are not optimally biased, adjust the variable supply voltage buffer to optimally bias the received analog signals("fig.7 & page 2[0053] line 7-12").

Re claim 8, an integrated circuit for use in a multiple function handheld device("fig.26,col.1 line 20"), the integrated circuit comprises: a processing module operably coupled to perform at least one algorithm relating to a function of the multiple function handheld device("fig.26/multifunction(330,340) to(351)"); an analog to digital converter operably coupled to convert analog signals into digital signals ("fig.6/81"), wherein the digital signals are processed by the processing module while performing the at least one algorithm("fig.26/351"); a microphone input circuit("fig.26/323,fig.1") operably coupled to provide the analog signals to the analog to digital converter, wherein the microphone input circuit includes: an amplifier operably coupled to amplify received input analog signals to produce the analog signals("fig.7/(AMP1,AMP2)"); and a microphone bias circuit that

includes: a first integrated circuit (IC) pin ("fig.1- is a detailed description of the formed first IC circuit & col.8 line 49-54") ; a first resistor operably coupled to the first IC pin ("fig.1/R1") and a return voltage ("fig.1/(vg1) gate bias voltage") ; a second IC pin operably coupled to receive analog signals from a source ("fig.6/(#71-85)- is the second IC pin which receive analog signal from(80)") ; and a supply voltage buffer operably coupled to produce a buffered supply voltage based on a impedance setting ("fig.7/(#AMP1,R11)") , wherein at least one off-chip component couples the second IC pin to the first IC pin ("fig.5-(Pout,Vapc) couple the two IC pins"). Matsunaga et al. fail to disclose the supply voltage as being variable based on variable impedance. However, Aubauer et al. disclose of a microphone arrangement in order to process audio signal in which having variable supply voltage based on variable impedance ("fig.7 & page 4 [0053] line 7-12") for purpose of minimizing circuit complexity as well as cost being adapted for most applications.

Therefore taking the combined teaching of Matsunaga et al. and Aubauer et al. as a whole, one of the ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to incorporate variable supply voltage based on variable impedance in Matsunaga et al. for the purpose of minimizing circuit complexity as well as cost being adapted for most applications as taught by as taught by Aubauer et al.

While, the combined teaching of Matsunaga et al. and Aubauer et al. as a whole, teach of the above, they fail to teach of the wherein the second IC receive the signals from a microphone. However, official notice is taken that the limitation wherein the second IC receive the signals from a microphone is commonly known in the art, thus official notice is taken that it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art to have modified the teaching of Matsunaga et al. and Aubauer et al. as a whole, to incorporate the specific wherein the second IC receive the signals from a microphone for the purpose of generating power control signal according to power level voltage command.

The further teaching of Matsunaga et al. and Aubauer et al. as a whole, would have incorporate the feature wherein the supply voltage buffer provides the buffered supply voltage to second IC pin as a microphone bias voltage ("fig.6,fig.7-buffer volt(70) is provided to ic circuit(vg1,vg2) as bias").

3. Claims 7, 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsunaga et al.("US 6,759,906 B2") and Aubauer et al.("US 2005/0276423 A1") as applied to claims 1 and 8 above, and further in view of Melo et al.(US 6,243,817 B1").

Re claim 7, the combination of Matsunaga et al. and Aubauer et al. as a whole fails to disclose the buffer comprising a power down

Art Unit: 2615

input operably coupled to receive a power down signal, wherein, when the power down signal is in a first state, the supply voltage buffer is enabled and when the power down signal is in a second state, the supply voltage buffer is disabled. However, Meto et al. disclose a computer having plurality input buffers which a power down input operably coupled to receive a power down signal, wherein, when the power down signal is in a first state, the supply voltage buffer is enabled and when the power down signal is in a second state, the supply voltage buffer is disabled("col.3 line 18-26") for the purpose of power management in conserving power.

Therefore taking the combined teaching of Matsunaga et al. and Aubauer et al. and Melo et al. as a whole, one of the ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to incorporate the input buffers which a power down input operably coupled to receive a power down signal, wherein, when the power down signal is in a first state, the supply voltage buffer is enabled and when the power down signal is in a second state, the supply voltage buffer is disabled for the purpose of power management in conserving power as taught by Melo et al.

Re claims 9-14 have been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 2-7 respectively.


Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Disler Paul whose telephone number is 571-272-2222. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vu Le can be reached on 571-272-2000. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DP


VIVIAN CHIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600